

Background

In theory, breastfeeding reduces morbidity and mortality risks among children (Edmond et al, 2007, Palloni and Tienda, 1986). Although it is ignored, there is growing concern that failure to breastfeed in areas where water and sanitation conditions are poor increases the mortality risk (Esrey, 1991, Clemens et al, 1993). In Uganda, only 62% of children are exclusively breastfed (Wobudeya et al, 2011) yet only 48% and 32% of the rural and urban population respectively access clean water (UNICEF, 2011).

Objectives

1) Study the effects of breastfeeding, water and sanitation on underfive mortality. 2) Explore interaction effects of breastfeeding, water and sanitation on under-five mortality.

Methods and Materials

The study uses the Uganda Demographic and Health Survey data (2011) and applies logistic model for the analysis.

Findings

On Tables 1, mortality risk increases 17 times among children not breastfed relative to children breastfed. There is a significant association between breastfeeding and under-five mortality (p<0.05). However, water source, whether toilet facilities were either shared or not and the type of sanitation facilities have no significant association with under-five mortality. Water from the open source was the safest while tap water carried the highest risk relative to borehole water source.

After interacting with breastfeeding, the mortality risk increases by 29% in households that use tap water and 10% among children in households that use open water source. Further, the interaction result shows an association between water sources and under-five mortality move to near significance (p=0.06). Although odd, after the interactions between breastfeeding and sanitation facilities there are further drops in mortality risk among children in households that use environment increases the risk of mortality. In Philippines, the risk of pit latrines and those that do not have any facilities at all. Besides, the mortality among infants who were weaned was highest when water p-value of the category of households that have no sanitation facilities was highly contaminated (VanDerslice, Popkin and Briscoe, 1994). attain a high level of significance.

The mortality risks increase with education and income levels after interacting with breastfeeding.

THE EFFECTS OF BREASTFEEDING, WATER AND SANITATION ON UNDER-FIVE MORTALITY IN UGANDA

Elwange C. Bob and, Clifford Odimegwu University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

Table 1: The effects of breastfeeding, water and sanitation on under-five mortality				Table 2: The Interaction Effects			
				Variables	Odds Ratio	Z	P-Values
Variables	Odds Ratio	Z	P-Values	Water source*Breastfeeding			
Breastfeeding	1.000			Borehole*Breastfeeding	1.000		
Yes**	1.000	11.74		Tap*Breastfeeding	1.291	1.85	0.064
No	16.931	11.74	0.00	Open Source*Breastfeeding	1.100	0.81	0.420
Water source				Waste disposal*Breastfeeding			
Borehole	1.000			Open Source*Breastfeeding	1.000		
Тар	1.010	0.04	0.969	Pit Latrine*Breastfeeding	1.250	1.38	0.166
Open source	0.982	-0.11	0.910	Sanitation Facilities*Breastfeeding			
	0.702			VIP/Flush*Breastfeeding	1.000		
Sharing Toilet	1.000			Pit Latrine*Breastfeeding	0.758	-1.35	0.176
No**	1.000	1.1.5	0.040	No Facility*Breastfeeding	0.533	-2.75	0.006
Yes	0.838	-1.15	0.248	Education Level *Breastfeeding			
Waste Disposal	1 000			None*Breastfeeding	1.000		
Latrine	1.000	0.05	0.002	Primary*Breastfeeding	1.008	0.06	0.963
Open Somitation Excilition	1.007	0.05	0.962	Secondary*Breastfeeding	1.345	1.76	0.078
VID/Eluch**	1 000			Higher*Breastfeeding	1.972	2.12	0.034
VIF/Flush ^{**} Dit Latrina	0.848	0.87	0.386	Income Level *Breastfeeding			
No Facility	0.675	-0.87	0.063	Poorest*Breastfeeding	1.000		
Child Sex	0.075	-1.00	0.005	Poorer*Breastfeeding	1.016	0.11	0.911
Male **	1 000			Middle*Breastfeeding	1.010	1 48	0.138
Male Female	1.000	1 57	0 117	Rich*Breastfeeding	1.230	1.10	0.110
Education Level				Richest*Breastfeeding	1.200	3.65	0.000
None **	1.000			Water source*Children in household	1.750	5.05	0.000
Primary	0.497	-2.57	0.010	Rorehole*Children in household	1 000		
Secondary	0.503	-2.09	0.037	Top*Childron in household	0.200	2.24	0.025
Higher	1.021	0.04	0.969	Open weter*Children in household	0.090	-2.24	0.023
Income Level				Open water Children in nousenoid	0.907	-0.05	0.972
Poorest**	1.000						
Poorer	0.951	-0.22	0.827	Recommendation Exclusive and breastfeeding for at least two years should be adhered to while at the same time maintaining clean			
Middle	0.932	-0.30	0.767				
Richer	1.303	1.01	0.315				
Richest	1.802	1.80	0.072				
Place of Residence				environment and following p	roper hygiene	e practic	es.
Rural**	1.000						
Urban	1.617	1.82	0.069	References			
Children in household				Clemens I et al (1003) B	reactfeeding	the ric	z of life
<3**	1.000			Ciciliens, J. et al (1993), Dieastieeunig the fisk of me			
>=3	3.055	5.13	0.000	threatening rotavirus: pre-	vention or	postpo	nement?
				Pediatrics, 92(5): 680-685			
				Estev $S \Lambda$ at al (1001) Effa	cts of improv	ed wate	or cunnly
iscussions				and sanitation on ascariasis, diarrhoea, dracunculiasis,			
The results support the theory that lack of breastfeeding in unclean							

Palloni, A. and Tienda, M. (1986), The effects of Breastfeeding and the Pace of Childbearing on Mortality at early ages. Demography, vol., 23, No.1, pp 31-52

Wobudeya, et al (2011), Breastfeeding and the risk of rotavirus diarrhoea in hospitalised infants in Uganda: a matched Case Control study. BMC Pediatrics, 11:17



nookworm infection, schistomiasis and trachoma. Bulletin of the World Health Organisation, 69: 609-621