# **EU-Policies and Fertility:**

# The Emergence and Implementation of Fertility Issues at the Supra-national Level

Gerda Neyer<sup>1</sup>

Stockholm University

Arianna Caporali

**INED** 

Nora Sánchez Gassen

Stockholm University

## **Abstract:**

The paper deals with policies and fertility at the European level. During the past decade the EU has set several initiatives concerning fertility issues. Yet, the competences to deal with fertility issues have been mainly with the member states. It is not clear whether the recent EU initiatives mark the emergence of a new policy field within the EU or whether established policy issues are given a demographic framing. This paper traces the origin and development of fertility relevant initiatives at the EU level starting with the Treaty of Rome in 1957 to the present. It provides an overview over these initiatives, analyses the process of development, the distribution and changes of the topics and the types of initiatives and their framing over time. This allows us to assess to what extent and with which consequences the EU initiates are steps towards a co-ordinated European effort to tackle fertility issues.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Corresponding author: gerda.neyer@sociology.su.se

## Introduction

During the past two decades the low fertility in Europe has become of increasing concern to policy makers at the national and at the supra-national (EU) level. The number of European countries which state that their fertility levels are too low has risen substantially. More and more countries admit that they have or consider policy measures to raise fertility (Neyer 2012). In several countries, low fertility has become a means of promoting policy changes (Hantrais and Letablier 1996; Hantrais 1999; Letablier 2008; Henninger et al. 2008).

Implicitly or explicitly, fertility issues have also been addressed by the European Union (EU). The suggestions of the Barcelona Summit to increase childcare facilities in EU-member states (Presidency Conclusion 2002), the Green Paper "Confronting demographic change: a new solidarity between the generations" (European Commission 2005), the Communication "The demographic future of Europe - from challenge to opportunity" (European Commission 2006), the establishment of an expert group on demographic issues (European Commission 2007), the biennial European demography forum and European demography report are signs of this development. Since the EU does not have the authority to pass policies affecting childbearing directly, it has to link its suggestions to areas of EU competences, such as its employment and gender equality strategies. The latter comprise, for example, the reconciliation of paid work and family life, gender equality, and childcare (European Commission 2006; Jacquot et al. 2010; Hantrais 1999, 2007). In turn, issues such as employment and gender equality have been essential in EU-initiatives to further policies which are considered to affect childbearing and fertility development (e.g., parental-leave directive).

Although the recent fertility-related initiatives of the EU have received attention by demographers (Population and Development Review 2006), there exists no systematic overview over the development of such initiatives. There exists also no study that examines the types of fertility-related initiatives, i.e., whether an initiative takes the form of a legally binding norm, which must be adopted by the member states, or whether it belongs to the "soft", non-binding measures, which suggest policy directions to EU-member states. Such legal aspects are relevant to determine the range and the consequences of the initiatives. Finally, there exists no study which examined the topics and framing of the initiatives, that is, which issue is primarily addressed and how the initiative is justified.

Our paper aims to close this gap. Starting with the Treaty of Rome (1957), we trace which initiatives and measures the EU has set in policy areas which relate directly or indirectly to fertility. We concentrate on aspects which are considered to influence fertility. These cover policies directly related to childbearing, such as maternity leave, parental leave, childcare, as well as aspects which are considered to indirectly affect fertility, such as the reconciliation of work and family, gender equality, equal opportunities, working time, active inclusion, housing, child poverty, and so forth. Finally, we also include issues which refer fertility in a broader sense, such as family and demographic issues. We present a systematic overview over the development of these issues and how the EU has addressed them during the past decades.

## Relevance of the EU for family policies and fertility behaviour in Europe

Since the Treaty of Rome and the creation of the European Economic Community (1957), the EU has not only expanded from six countries to now 28 member states; it has also acquired more power to influence the member states and their policies (Nugent 2006; McCormick 2011). Four aspects are important for our study and for understanding the impact that the EU has on family policies and on fertility issues in member states. First, the EU can define standards and pass legally binding norms that have to be met by or implemented into the national laws of the member states. This can be done through two channels:

- a) The treaties of the EU set normative standards which member states are obliged to observe in their legislation. For example, the Treaty of Rome introduced the principle of equal pay of women and men and thus set the basis for the expansion of the gender equality principles in subsequent treaties and the implementation of gender equality in national laws (McCormick 2011).
- b) Legally binding norms are also set through directives which must be implemented in the member states. For example, the parental leave directive (Council Directive 96/34/EC of 3 June 1996) granted women and men an individual right to a parental leave of at least three months (and stipulated other conditions with regard to this parental leave). As a consequence, member states which did not have parental leave measures that fulfilled at least these requirements had to adapt their measures or implement EU-conforming regulations (Falkner et al. 2005).

Second, the EU has also a normative and guiding function beyond its power to set legally binding norms. Recommendations, opinions, green papers, white papers, communications, action programmes and other non-legally binding documents set normative standards or make suggestions regarding policies and policy directions which member states should take. For example, the European Council proposed during its Barcelona summit in 2002 that member states increase their childcare facilities to provide childcare by 2010 to at least 33% of children under the age of three and to at least 90% of children aged three to mandatory school entry age. Although in 2010 these goals were still not in place in all member states, the Barcelona target has fostered progress in the expansion of childcare provision in member states since 2002 (European Commission 2013). The EU can thus contribute to or facilitate the development of family policies in member states.

Third, the EU institutionalized cross-national exchange on policies, policy directions, and on issues relevant to the member states and the EU (e.g., low fertility), through recurrent meetings of members of national governments (e.g., meetings of ministers), through the Open Method of Coordination, through the establishment of expert groups, commissions, reports, etc. This may also lead to policy changes or adaptation of policies in individual member states (e.g., through adoption of "best practice" examples).

Fourth, the EU usually frames its legally-binding and non-binding initiatives within wider policy aims. Such aims may be, e.g., to increase fertility, to tackle demographic aging, to promote gender equality, to raise female labour force participation, to support sustainability, to promote economic or inclusive growth. The over-arching aims indicate the broader changes which the EU envisions to obtain through its legally binding or non-binding norms. As regards fertility, they thus embed and contextualize the fertility-related initiatives of the EU within a setting of EU goals and point to additional policy directions which member states may take.

### **Data and Methods**

To document the development of fertility relevant EU-initiatives since 1957 we make use of the main databases and archives of the EU that provide information on EU laws and on the legal processes of EU initiatives. To find and retrieve all EU initiatives related to fertility we search these databases stepwise. This allows us to countercheck our findings. We start our search using keywords which cover fertility-related policies or fertility-relevant policy areas, such as: childcare, parental leave, maternity leave, pregnancy, part-time work, working time, reconciliation of private and working lives, family, gender equality, equal opportunities between men and women, demographic renewal, and so forth. We also check all documents belonging to thematic areas of the EU, in particular, employment, social inclusion, social cohesion, social affairs, public health, education, training, youth, socio-economic discrimination, child poverty. We systematize the policies on the basis of their main policy domains (e.g., maternity leave, parental leave, organization of working time, equal opportunities between men and women). We

classify each document according to parameters relevant for our analysis, such as, title, type of document (legally binding/legally non-binding and the subcategory of each, e.g., treaty, directive, communication, etc.), information on the decision-making process, such as, initiation date, ratification date (if applicable), and we summarize the content of each initiative to provide a quick overview over the relevance and range of the document. The final product of this search is a systematised database which contains information on and links to the full documents of all EU-initiatives related to fertility-relevant issues. This allows us to not only describe and analyse the development of fertility-relevant issues at the EU-level; it also offers us the possibility to study shifts in focus, range, and policy framing.

# First and Preliminary Results

The development of EU-initiatives since 1957 shows that there has been a substantial increase in fertility-related activities and fertility-based argumentation of policy initiatives over time. The increase gained momentum in the 1980s. The majority of initiatives has been of a non-binding character. Binding and non-binding policies show a roughly similar development over time, with most initiatives taking place during the 1990s and 2000s (see Figure 1). This seems to partially contradict the often voiced assumption about the development of EU policy making, which sees a decline in binding policies and an increase of "soft" legislation since the second half of the 1990s (for a discussion of this assumption see Zeitlin et al. 2005). An overview over fertility-related initiatives by year (of ratification or publication) provides a more detailed picture of this development: Non-binding initiatives have increased markedly in the 1990s and in the late 2000s, while binding policies were passed in a more "selective" way (Figure 2). As regards non-binding initiatives, the implementation of an expert group on demographic issues between 2007 and 2012 boost "soft" initiatives, although the trend towards an increased interest of the EU in fertilityrelated matters had started in the 1990s (Figure 2). This indicates that the recent initiatives of the EU are not a completely new phenomenon, but may suggest a more comprehensive approach of the EU to fertility-related demographic issues.

A first classification of the topics of initiatives (based on the core title keywords) shows several aspects: First, there has been an increase in the number of topics through which fertility-related issues are addressed. This points to an increasing engagement of the EU in different fertility-related areas. Second, different topics have entered the EU agenda "sequentially", leading to a gradual accumulation of fertility-related initiatives addressing different issues over time. The first topic to emerge systematically over time was gender equality. In the late 1980s, argumentations related to "framework policies", such as demographic renewal, sustainability, etc., were brought forth more strongly or were newly introduced. In the 1990s demographic change became a topic of fertility-related issues, and since the mid-1990s employment issues have been taken up in fertility-related initiatives more than in prior decades (Figure 3).

Subsequent analysis of our database for this paper will focus on more in-depth – quantitative and qualitative - analysis of the development of EU-policies related to fertility such as the linkages between different policy topics, the motivations for the initiatives, and the argumentation for the initiatives. The aim of these analyses is not only to document the EU activities in the field of fertility, but also to assess the strength and direction of EU-initiatives in relation to fertility and family-policy development in Europe.

### References

Caporali A. and Golini A. (2010), Births and Fertility in Interwar Italy: Trends, Images, Policies and Perception. *Population Review* 49 (2).

Cross, J. (2011). Interventions and negotiation in the Council of Ministers in the European Union. *European Union Politics* 13(1): 47-69.

- European Commission, 2005. Communication from the Commission Green Paper "Confronting demographic change: a new solidarity between the generations" COM(2005) 94 final, Brussels, 16.3.2005.
- European Commission, 2006. Communication from the Commission "The demographic future of Europe from challenge to opportunity" COM(2006) 571 final, Brussels, 12.10.2006.
- European Commission, 2007. Commission Staff Working Document "Europe's Demographic Future: Facts and Figures" SEC(2007) 638, Brussels, 11.05.2007.
- European Commission 2013. Barcelona Objectives. The development of childcare facilities for young children in Europe with a view to sustainable and inclusive growth. Report from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. Publication Office of the European Union: Luxembourg.
- Falkner G., Treib O., and Hartlapp M. (2005). *Complying with Europe: EU Harmonisation and Soft Law in the Member States*. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge.
- Gauthier A. (2005). Trends in policies for family-friendly societies. In: M. Macura, A. MacDonald and W. Haug (eds.). *The New Demographic Regime: Population Challenges and Policy Responses*. United Nations: New York and Geneva.
- Gauthier A. (2007). The impact of family policies on fertility in industrialized countries: a review of the literature. *Population Research and Policy Review* 26 (3).
- Hantrais L. (1999). Socio-demographic change, policy impacts and outcomes in social Europe", *Journal of European Social Policy* 9 (4): 291–309.
- Hantrais L. (2007). Social Policy in the European Union. Palgrave Macmillan: New York.
- Hantrais L., and Letablier M. (1996). *Families and Family Policies in Europe*. Longman: London and New York.
- Henninger A., Wimbauer Ch., and Dombrowski R. (2008). Demography as a push towards gender equality? Current reforms in German family policy. *Social Politics: International Studies in Gender, State & Society* 15(3): 287-314.
- Hough, J. R.(1988). Social Policy and the Birthrate. *International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy* 8(5): 37-50.
- Jacquot S., Ledoux C. and Palier B, (2010). The emergence and changing nature of a polysemic category. European resources in the field of reconciliation between paid work and private life, REC-WP 11/2010 Working Papers on the Reconciliation of Work and Welfare in Europe. RECWOWE Publication, Dissemination and Dialogue Centre, Edinburgh.
- Letablier MT (2008). Why France has high fertility: The impact of policies supporting parents. *The Japanese Journal of Social Security Policy* 7(2).
- McCormick, J. (2011). European Union Politics. Palgrave-Macmillan: Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire.
- McDonald P. (2006) Low Fertility and the State: The Efficacy of Policy. *Population and Development Review* 32 (3): 485-510.
- Neyer G. (2003). Family Policies and Low fertility in Western Europe, MPIDR Working paper WP 2003-021 July 2003.
- Neyer G. (2012). Welfare states, family policies and fertility in Europe. In: Neyer, G. et al. (Hg.). *The Demography of Europe*. Springer: New York (forthcoming).
- Nugent, N., 2006. *The Government and Politics of the European Union*, 6th edition. Palgrave-Macmillan, Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire.
- Population and Development Review (2006). Policies to reconcile labor force participation and childbearing in the European Union (2006). *Population and Development Review* 32(2): 389-393.
- Presidency Conclusions (2002). Barcelona European Council, 15 and 16 March 2002, SN 100/1/02 REV 1, Part I.

- Princen, S. (2011). Agenda-setting strategies in EU policy processes. *Journal of European Public Policy* 18(7): 927-943.
- Scharpf, F. (2002). The European social model: Coping with the challenges and diversity. *Journal of Common Market Studies* 40(4): 645-670.
- Zeitlin, J, Pochet, P. (eds.) with Magnusson, L.. The Open Method of Coordination in Action. The European Employment and Social Inclusion Strategies. Peter Lang Verlag: Bruxelles.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} Figure 1: Development of fertility-related binding and non-binding EU initiatives by decades \\ \end{tabular}$ 




Figure 2: Development of fertility-related binding and non-binding  $E\boldsymbol{U}$  initiatives by year




Figure 3: Development of fertility-related EU-initiatives by topics over time

